

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/812,680	03/30/2004	David C. Boyer	02274/6622AUS	4028	
20879	7590 12/06/2005		EXAMINER		
EMCH, SCHAFFER, SCHAUB & PORCELLO CO			BRUNSMAN	BRUNSMAN, DAVID M	
P O BOX 916 ONE SEAGA	re suite 1980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TOLEDO, OH 43697			1755		
			DATE MAILED: 12/06/200	DATE MAILED: 12/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/812,680	BOYER ET AL.	•			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		David M. Brunsman	1755				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
		VIC CET TO EVEIDE MONI	U(C) OD TUIDTY (	20) DAVC			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
3)	<u> </u>						
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	·	=x parte Quayre, 1000 0.b. 11, 40	0.0.2.210.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
	4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[X]	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).			
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC	D-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119/a	)-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	, priority and or or or or or or or	, (0) 0. (1).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	· ·	<del></del>	tage			
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		· ·			
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	t(s)			•			
1) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-	102)			
	radomadi Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/812,680

Art Unit: 1755

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-5, 12-14, drawn to a sealer base of petroleum pitch with solvent,
 coal tar and anti-wear agent, classified in class 106, subclass 278.

- II. Claims 6,7,16,17, drawn to a emulsion of I and the related method of making it, classified in class 106, subclass 277.
- III. Claims 8-11, drawn to a binder or sealer base, classified in class 106, subclass 278.
- IV. Claim 15, drawn to a driveway sealer emulsion comprising petroleum or coal tar pitch, cut-back oil and anti-wear agent, classified in class 106, subclass 277.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a neat anticorrosion coating and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions III and IV are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediatefinal product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the Application/Control Number: 10/812,680

Art Unit: 1755

intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a neat anticorrosion coating and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

In each case, the intermediate bituminous bases are converted to an emulsified product wherein the water and oil phases are held separate by intermolecular bonding.

Groups I/II are independent of groups III/IV as each does not require the particulars of the other and are seen as operating by different modes due to the recited compositional differences.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each of Groups I-IV is not required for the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claims 8-11 and 15 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising binder/sealer bases and emulsions thereof wherein the bituminous component is selected from coal tar pitch, coal pitch and petroleum pitch. If in response to the restriction between group I-IV group III or IV is chosen, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Claims 1-17 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising products wherein the anti-wear additive is selected from aliphatic carboxylic

Application/Control Number: 10/812,680

Art Unit: 1755

acids or aliphatic poly amides. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed for any of the four groups.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to customer number 20879 on 03 December 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M. Brunsman whose telephone number is 571-272-1365. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W, F, Sa; 6:00-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,680 Page 5

Art Unit: 1755

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David M Brunsman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

**DMB** 

Mm >